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Background

Blockchains or cryptocurrencies can be difficult to use, integrate, and access. Today’s primary use of cryptocurrency is handled via simple wallet-to-wallet send/receive transactions. There are infinite uses of blockchains or cryptocurrencies beyond the simple financial transaction which have yet to emerge due to difficulties of use.

A variety of inhibitors to adoption and extensibility of cryptocurrency exist due to the decentralized architecture and complex account identification methods. The decentralized architecture relies on a niche peer-to-peer protocol, a limited storage structure, and a closed set of consensus mechanisms. The peer-to-peer protocol is often blocked by networks and is not popular among today’s software developers. The blockchain can only effectively handle a limited scope of transactions based on its restrictive data format. The consensus rules of the blockchain are specific to the use case of a particular project, not extensible for custom implementations. Besides the challenges of the decentralized environment, blockchain and cryptocurrencies do not easily facilitate commerce between parties. The modern concept of accounts and usernames is not found in today’s blockchains or cryptocurrencies. In conclusion, there is a need for a cryptocurrency network that makes it easier for users to manage and spend their funds within blockchain-centered applications with the convenience of interacting through simple user accounts as opposed to cryptographic addresses.

Summary

This document describes systems and methods for enabling the creation of decentralized applications accessed via identities established on the blockchain.

Blockchain Accounts

Blockchain accounts provide the foundation to user access in the system by enabling users to cryptographically prove ownership of a pseudonymous identity they create and persist data related to that identity on the blockchain. These blockchain accounts are registered and managed on the blockchain by means of a special set of account-related transactions (e.g. register, change key).

An account registration associates the chosen username with the user-provided public key that will be used for future activity. When the account owner creates or updates their data, the data is signed with their account private key. Each blockchain account maintains a “fee-balance” that can be used to pay miners who claim fees for updates to the blockchain account in blocks. This balance is established and refilled using the cryptocurrency process known as “burning”.
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Additional security-related transactions enable the blockchain account owner to update their on-chain public key or close their account.

**Masternodes**
Hosting decentralized network and storage services that are useful for blockchain accounts requires reliable infrastructure on which to base it. Typical Dash nodes are not well-suited for this since there is not an incentive for them to remain online, particularly as the demand for resources increases (e.g. storage, network capacity, etc.). To provide an incentive for nodes to support the network as demand increases, the network and storage services described in this document run on a set of nodes (masternodes) that are rewarded for services provided in a similar way to Dash miners. To ensure these masternodes are invested in the overall system, they are required to maintain a minimum collateral (e.g. 1000 DASH).

**Decentralized API**
The decentralized API Module (DAPI) provides the necessary means of making Dash accessible by abstracting away the P2P network layer that is difficult to use and easy to block. This decentralized API acts as an intermediary between the Dash P2P network and other networks (e.g. the Internet) by providing an HTTP-accessible API. To maintain the security of users, DAPI does not have control over account owner’s private keys and data submitted is validated by masternode quorums containing multiple DAPI providers to mitigate risk of malicious actors.

**Storage**
Although DAPI provides advantages for typical cryptocurrency use (e.g. financial transactions), using a blockchain to store custom data has numerous limitations such as cost and size constraints. Adding a second layer of Storage, off-chain, enables a much more flexible way to leverage the security provided by the blockchain. To retain data security, a hash for each data update is stored in the blockchain. The immutability of the blockchain provides a mechanism for validating that off-chain (layer 2) data remains unchanged.

Unlike blockchain storage, layer 2 Storage can store general data in the form of objects defined by the schema of a particular application. Objects are committed to Storage within differential transactions that each link to the previous update of the object. By traversing all data changes, an ‘Active’ state can be resolved to represent the full current set of data.

**Schema**
To ensure consistency and integrity of layer 2 storage, all objects stored there are governed by a JSON-based schema which defines the rules as to how they should be validated and their permitted relationships. The base schema defines the overall specification to which all objects in the system must comply. This is then extended by applications to implement the sub-schemas necessary to support their custom requirements.
**Quorums**

Masternodes operating in quorums provide validation for layer 2 related data submitted to DAPI. A threshold number of members of the quorum (e.g. 6 of 10) must arrive at consensus on the validity of the data in order for it to be accepted, linked to the blockchain, and propagated across the Dash network. A quorum indicates its approval by adding a quorum signature to the supplied data.

Since participation in quorum activities is one of the services a masternode must provide in order to receive payments, masternodes have an incentive to be responsive to these requests.

**Consensus**

Consensus rules on the validity and sequence of the data updates added in blocks are analogous to those of Transactions in the existing Dash protocol. A combination of Schema validation, masternode quorum acceptance, and the blockchain rules enforced by miners form the consensus that enables layer 2 data storage to remain secure.
System Overview

FIG. 1 illustrates a block diagram of the system. The system consists of one or more clients (1000) connected to a masternode network (4100) containing multiple masternodes (2000) that provide services and act as an intermediary to the cryptocurrency network (4200).
Adding more detail, FIG. 5 illustrates a block diagram of a masternode (2000) which includes an API Module (2100), a Storage Module (2200), and a Blockchain Module (2300).

FIG. 5

FIG. 2 illustrates an overview of the path that Client (1000) data takes to be validated and then stored in a decentralized way. Client data first enters the API Module (2100) on a Masternode (2000) and is sent to the Blockchain Module (2300) to obtain Consensus (3300) on the data’s validity from a Quorum (2400) composed of multiple Masternodes (2000). Upon obtaining consensus, the Quorum (2400) sends a response to the requesting Masternode’s Blockchain Module (2300) which triggers the Layer 2 Storage Sync (3400) once the data is represented in the blockchain. During the Layer 2 Storage Sync (3400), the Storage Modules (2200) of Masternodes (2000) on the Masternode Network (4200) communicate with each other to ensure the data is synchronized across the network.
Blockchain Accounts

Accounts are the foundation to user access in the system, enabling users to cryptographically prove ownership of a pseudonymous identity that they create, and persist public and private data related to that identity on the blockchain.
Accounts are data structures stored on the blockchain that represent the various new types of users that the system serves, such as end-users (e.g. Consumers) and applications (e.g. Businesses), who pay fees to the network in return for adding and updating their account’s data, essentially as subscribers to the network.

FIG. 7 illustrates a block diagram of a Client (1000) establishing a new account using the architecture as shown in FIG. 1. The Client (1000) submits an account registration (3710) to the API Module (2100) of a Masternode (2000). The API Module (2100) sends this to the Blockchain Module (2300) which broadcasts valid account registrations (3711) to the Cryptocurrency Network (4200). This broadcast works in the same way as typical cryptocurrency transactions and results in all network Nodes (1500) and Masternodes (2000) receiving the registration data.
Account Subscriptions

Users can create accounts by registering subscription data directly on the blockchain in the metadata of specially constructed transactions called Subscription Transactions that also burn a
minimum amount of DASH via a provably unspendable null-data pubkey script in one of the transaction’s outputs.

Subscription data for an account consists of a unique key for that type (e.g. a username) and a public key that lets the user prove they are the owner of the account by signing challenges. An account maintains a “fee-balance” consisting of a tallied quantity of DASH burned on the account that is spent to miners for including updates to the account state in blocks. Note that the public key for the account is purely for authentication and not to be used as a payment address.

Account subscription data is stored within transaction metadata as the blockchain provides the most security and durability in terms of maintaining a consensus on subscription data, and is accessible and verifiable at a Simplified Payment Verification (SPV) level using existing tools. Also, as the amount of subscription data each account needs to store in transactions during its life cycle is minimal, the penalties of adding this data to the blockchain are minimal too. The amount of this additional data scales linearly to the number of account signups.

Using null-data pubkey scripts in a transaction output to register accounts also has the benefit that funds can be burned at the same time that are provably unspendable and can then provide a “fee balance” for the account (used to incentivize miners to include account state changes in blocks).

**Registration**

The transaction metadata needed to create a new account with sample data consists of:

- **Username**: A string of characters which must be unique within the total Account set on the blockchain for the specified Account Type (similar to a primary key in a database). The Username cannot be changed by subsequent subscription transactions for this Account.
- **Public Key**: The owner’s public key for the Account, enabling proof of ownership of the account for the private key holder by verifying their signature against the specified PubKey. This should not be used to hold funds in a Dash address, and is purely for authentication purposes.
- **Signature**: A signature of the hash of the preceding fields signed by the owner with the private key for the specified PubKey
- **Burn Amount**: This is the amount of DASH burned in the transaction output. It must be greater than or equal to a minimum fee for registering the specified Account type (e.g. 0.005 for a User Account). The amount of DASH burned above the minimum fee is credited to a ‘fee balance’, and is spent on updates to the account later.

**Subscription Status**

Alice’s account can be identified using the Username (“Alice”). The hash of the initial registration transaction can also be used to identify Alice’s account.
Nodes can then validate the subscription status of an account by querying the blockchain for all subscription transactions with a given Username, for example, returning all subscription transactions with the username ‘Alice’, and check that the Account was registered and has not been closed.

**Fee Balance**

In the above registration example the current balance of the account is derived from the total burned on the account (e.g. 0.01 Dash), minus the minimum fee for signing up a user (e.g. 0.005 Dash) (plus any Account update fees which are described later) to tally the account’s balance at 0.005 Dash.

Nodes tally this balance by summing the amounts credited in the initial registration transaction and subsequent top-up transactions existing in the blockchain, and then deducting the sum of debits from the account in the form of fees deducted for the subscriber in the blockchain (described below).

Note that the only funds under the control of the subscriber's public key are those available as the account fee-balance which can only be spent by updating objects under the control of that account. Subscribers cannot change data in other subscriber accounts (without their private key) and cannot transfer fee-balances to another account. This minimizes the incentives to hack an account. If a subscriber’s private key is compromised, the attacker can only use the available fee-balance to update that Account’s data or deactivate the Account.

**Topping Up an Account’s Fee-Balance**

Account owners can top-up the fee-balance by creating a “Topup” subscription transaction with the registration transaction’s hash that burns an additional amount of DASH. The burned value is credited to the subscriber’s account balance when tallying the current account subscription state. It does not need to be signed by the Account owner so anyone can topup an Account’s fee-balance.

**Changing the public key**

The public key for an Account registration can be changed by submitting a “ResetKey” subscription transaction that specifies a new public key. This transaction must be signed with the latest public key in the blockchain for this account to provide a proof of ownership. A “Resetkey” transaction not signed in this way will be considered invalid.

**Closing an Account**

In the event that a subscriber's private key is compromised and the attacker changes the public key, the subscriber can create a “CloseAccount” subscription transaction and sign it with one of
their previous public keys. This effectively deactivates the Account and prevents any updates to the Account data in future.

To validate the deactivation, nodes can check back for a set amount of time (e.g. 6 months) and allow deactivation on any public key within that period.

This enables an account owner to prevent unauthorized access to their account, as accounts cannot be reopened. Although it also means an attacker with the private key could deactivate the account. This would only prevent the rightful owner from updating objects using the account. Since the public key doesn’t hold funds, no value is at risk and the user can copy their data and register a new account.

Account Data

Data for an Account is stored as a collection of data structures called Objects that Account owners can create and update. Objects are comprised of a Header and a Data section that can contain data fields called Properties. The Header includes a Property containing the hash of the Data section Properties, so an individual Data section can be matched to a header (e.g., when stored in different locations), and the Header itself can be hashed to provide a single hash of all Properties in the Object.

Account owners prove ownership of Objects by signing the header properties with their private key. Nodes can then verify this independently using the blockchain.

State Transitions

A State Transition, or Transition, is defined by the change in state of an Account’s data from an old state to a new state. Each new transition references the last transition agreed upon by network consensus.

Because State Transitions are stored in blocks, clients can prove that an Object was part of a State committed to a block by hashing the Object locally and checking a Merkle proof for the Object’s branch in the merkle tree.

Certain state transitions, called Delegate State Transitions, can exist where the state is amended using network consensus, for example to ban the account with a sufficient consensus majority.

Accounts exist purely as a sequence of State Transitions with each one providing a cryptographic proof of the sequence of transitions, the validity of each transition’s data via its
hash, and proof the data in each transition was created by the Account owner. Each State Transition contains only a differential set of data Objects that were added or changed in the transition.

Schema

The types of Objects that can be stored, rules as to how they should be validated, and the permitted relationships between objects with different owners, are defined in a protocol known as the Schema. This protocol enables system components and the applications built on them to communicate effectively by establishing consensus rules and the primitives from which all objects will be derived. System wide consensus rules in the protocol include details such as the method of serializing objects, the hashing algorithm, and the specification for schemas (e.g. JSON-Schema).

The Schema is defined in a single reference JSON specification that nodes and clients can interpret programmatically or manually through successive versions and JSON is the native format for interoperation using Objects across all masternodes (2000) and clients. Every Object in the system can be expressed and validated as a JSON Object as defined in the JSON Schema. The relationships and constraints defined in the Schema are used as reference whenever an Object needs to be validated, stored or acted upon.

A programmatically interpretable Schema specification is used to enable the system to decouple Object implementation from Object validation, relay, and storage. For example, Blockchain Module (2300) code can validate an object based on the Schema rules, whilst remaining agnostic to the specific functionality required to handle that Object Type in higher tiers such as the API Module (2100) or client applications. This enables the system to modify the Schema and add new Object types in the future without having to re-engineer large amounts of code, or have separate developers working on the Schema design (in JSON) and the various implementations in nodes and clients.

Some additional benefits of using a ‘dynamic’ design-time protocol such as the Schema for Objects comprise:

- Blockchain Module (2300) / API Module (2100) are object agnostic
- End-to-end reference specification for object validation
- Decouple business layer from data access layer
- Object Oriented nature enables code reuse
- Polymorphic code use in Clients (e.g. reuse functionality that processes base class properties and constraints on derived classes)
- Enables programmatic code generation for (e.g. Client SDK object sets)
The Schema can have the properties of both an entity relationship (ER) model and a unified modelling language (UML) model used in Object Oriented Programming (OOP). This means that the system can function similar to a decentralized object-oriented relational database application for end-users and third party applications, where the table rows are instead Objects defined by the Schema’s JSON definition at design-time.

**Application Schema**

The Schema architecture can also be extended to allow third party applications to implement their own sub-schemas to integrate functionality customized for their own requirements. These application schemas extend primitives from the protocol level to define the specific objects and validation rules needed for their use-case. For example, an application may require an object have a minimum value or be limited to certain characters. Application schemas may be reused or extended to add functionality and also may support interaction with other applications via a mutually established protocol.

Application-specific consensus rules can be defined that execute specified logic based on an event (e.g. a change in value of an object).

FIG. 6 illustrates a block diagram of a Client (1000) registering a new Schema using the architecture as shown in FIG. 1. The Client (1000) submits a schema registration (3610) to the API Module (2100) of a Masternode (2000). The API Module (2100) first validates the application schema (3611) using to check compliance with the system Schema. The API Module (2100) then writes the application schema to storage (3612) in the Storage Module (2200) while also sending it to the Blockchain Module (2300) which broadcasts the schema registration (3613) to the Cryptocurrency Network (4200). This broadcast works in the same way as typical cryptocurrency transactions and results in all network Nodes (1500) and Masternodes (2000) receiving the registration data.
Interoperability

JSON is the native format for Objects in the Schema and the Schema definition itself. This enables Objects to be interoperable universally throughout the Dash network and ecosystem from full nodes to clients, for example:
- The Blockchain Module (2300) can relay Objects with other fullnodes using P2P messages
- The Blockchain Module (2300) can store and retrieve Objects using local storage
- API Module (2100) can read and write Objects to and from the Blockchain Module (2300) using RPC and ZMQ
- API Module (2100) can handle HTTP XHR requests and responses containing native JSON Objects
- Client Wallets can request and receive Objects from the API Module (2100) and can backup a user’s Object Set in native JSON format
- Client Applications can request and receive Objects in native JSON format

Payment Objects

The Schema can also be used to provide access to object-based representations of blockchain data. These Payment Objects are derived from confirmed blockchain transactions and made available as system Objects. This enables applications to access these structures easily if required and for Objects to reference them internally.

Payment Objects may consist of:
- Block, Tx and Address - the main object types used in the current payment level of the current Dash protocol
- Subscription Transactions - special transactions with subscription metadata indicating the ownership, status and fee-balance of an Account
- Collateral - UTXOs with specific metadata used in the system (e.g. masternodes)
- SuperBlocks (SBs) - blocks paying winning Proposal Objects that are created deterministically by miners in the system, with payments added as outputs in the SB’s coinbase transaction.

State Sequences

Some example types of Object in the model can illustrate how Object functions are implemented and the sequence of states that multi-party interactions observe using a basic test use-case of user-friending for private C2C (consumer-to-consumer) payments.

Requirements

As a simple test case, a user should be able to register an account, and request to ‘add’ another user as a contact, at which point the other user is able to accept or decline that request. If accepted, both users will be able to see the other user in a list of their contacts. If the request is
declined, the requesting user doesn’t have the option to request again, and the requested user
doesn’t see the request anymore.

For this test case, the data can be stored using Account Objects. The data that is stored and the
sequence of states in both users’ Account Objects at each state throughout the ‘friending’
process are required. Therefore, in this test case it can be assumed that all Objects can be read
and written to the network using the rules defined thus far, and as a trustless virtual
decentralized database with all data secured by blockchain consensus.

Centralized Solution

In a centralized, trusted relational database with users connecting through a server,requirements can comprise

1. A User table storing all registered users, and
2. A UserContact table storing contact data consisting of a RequesterKey, RequestedKey,
   and a Response

Users (e.g. Alice and Bob) can both sign up with their UserKey via the server, which creates
both rows in the User table. If user Alice wants to request a contact with Bob, she instructs the
server to create a new row in the UserContact table, with her key in the RequesterKey column,
and Bob’s key in the RequestedKey column, and with the Response column’s value set to 0,
signifying no response.

Bob can then be alerted of the request, by scanning the UserContact table for any request to
himself without a response, and then set the response to either 1 for “no” or 2 for “yes”. If “no”,
the server can filter out the request the next time Bob accesses it, and can prevent Alice from
resending a request to Bob. If “yes”, the server can return the User details to each other as an
approved contact.

The validation is taken care of by the database, by ensuring only valid data types can be
entered, preventing duplicate users or contact requests through the use of foreign key
constraints on the primary keys of both tables.

Decentralized Design

To implement the use-case in a fully decentralized and trustless way using the Account, Object
and Schema concepts detailed above, a similar approach to the centralized / trusted database
model, with some limitations, is used:

- There is no trusted server with the permission or access to update the data; Both Alice
  and Bob can only update the data in their own Accounts after proving ownership by
  signing for their Account’s public key.
Data isn’t stored in single tables, it’s stored in Objects within the user’s accounts. Therefore both users need to be able to query Objects from all users that are referenced to them.

The solution to this lies in enabling Account owners (who can only change their own data) to reference data Objects (e.g. state transitions) to foreign accounts (such as a prospective or connected contact) within their own Object data that the foreign account can then detect, and respond with data in their own Object set related to the original user.

Referential Integrity

It can be illustrated that the referential relationships between Objects is integral to maintaining a global Object set that is correct and does not have duplicated or invalid data that would break Client applications. This provides benefits including:

- Enabling validation of Object relations to ensure integrity of the consensus Object Set
- Preventing duplicate object instances or misuse of the Schema, e.g. inserting custom data
- Enabling extraction of the Object Set to a relational database for integration, analysing or warehousing scenarios
- Enabling optimizations in retrieval through optimization of indexing strategies
- Enabling optimizations in storage footprint by indexing Object relations

The foreign key relations are implied. This means they cannot be validated using a network consensus, because the key is within an encrypted blob only the User can decrypt. In such cases relational integrity is reliant on correct Client implementations. Client applications could use encrypted blobs as the storage unit for custom properties and implement their own custom functionality for state-sequence interoperation within the Objects and relations.

State Sequence

With the Objects and relations defined, how the system implements database-like functionality for end-users but in a decentralized and trustless way, which we call a State Sequence, can be explained.

The State Sequence enables sets of Accounts who want to interact to communicate information by only changing their own Object data, with states transitioning in the kind of sequences found in a centralized database application, but with users changing their own data.

The design pattern is similar to a semaphore, where users change their own state referencing a foreign account who is observing any changes in account objects related to their account.
Consensus

Evolution adds consensus rules to the Dash protocol governing, generally:

- Consensus on the existence, status and ownership of Accounts, based on the sequence and funding of an Account’s Subscription Transactions
- Consensus on the sequence and validity of State Transitions
- Consensus on the state of Objects within each State Transition
- Consensus on Object definition and validation rules defined in the Schema

Persistence Strategy

Before detailing the consensus rules, 2 new persistence requirements are identified based on the above design, each with very different characteristics:

1. **State Transitions** (Transitions between Account States) can require a small, fixed amount of data (e.g. ~200 bytes) to be stored when data is changed, regardless of the amount of data changed. Multiple object updates can be batched into a single State Transition and full nodes must persist a full set of historical transitions to validate that new states satisfy the consensus rules. These differential Object datasets, called each State Transitions, can comprise a hash of the data and the data itself. The Object hashes and data may not be needed for historical validation using consensus rules, and can be pruned (after a minimum time when some data is required for triggers, e.g. 1 month). The data can be of variable size, sometimes large (e.g. approximately 10Kb) depending on the number of Objects comprising the State Transition data.

2. **Active Dataset** is the dataset of Objects representing the current (or ‘Active’) set of data. It can be derived and made available by traversing previous differential state transitions and is updated when a new State Transition occurs that changes data.

The 2 different types of persistence requirements have the following characteristics. State Transitions require full durability across all nodes which scales approximately linearly to the number of transactions (presuming transitions are being used as essentially metadata facilitating transactions). The Active Dataset only persists the current state of user data and therefore scales linearly to the number of users (generally speaking).

For these reasons, State Transitions can be stored directly in blocks using a process analogous to transactions. Storing in blocks is ideal as full durability is required. The impact on block size is comparatively small since state transitions are smaller than normal transactions and created less frequently.
For the Active Dataset, blocks may be unsuitable due to their immutability. Therefore a more efficient storage mechanism is used that essentially stores sets of mutable Objects based on the state transitions in new blocks. This mechanism is referred to as the Storage Module (2200) and is discussed further below.

State Transitions

State transitions represent the sequence of changes to state and metadata, are added to blocks by miners, and include a hash of the previous transition for the account.

The properties in the State Transition are comprised of:

- The hash of the transaction registering the associated Account
- The previous subscription transaction hash for the associated Account, i.e. the source state
- The hash of the data being submitted, i.e. the destination state
- The signature of the data by the Account’s most recent public key
- The signature of the State Transition by a masternode quorum (2400)

Incentives

The incentive for Masternodes (2000) to form Quorums (2400), accept and propagate state transitions, and store their data is because Masternode rewards are dependent on their processing of these transitions. This entails achieving a minimum quota of state transitions in blocks based on the total Masternode activity within a fixed time.

The incentive for miners to add state transitions to blocks is because they earn fees on each transition added which are deducted from Account’s tallied balance and issued to the miner in an additional coinbase output paying the sum of all fees on state transitions included in the block.

Transition Verification

Each node verifies a transition as follows:

- Check if the state transitions are well formed and use the correct syntax
- Check the associated Account is valid and open status based on the associated subscription transactions starting with the referenced registration tx hash
- Check if the Account fee-balance can afford the commit cost (balance - fees > 0)
- Add the fees to the coinbase (this is deducted from the account’s burn tx by checking the blockchain)
- Verify Objects provided with the transition are well formed and use the correct syntax
- Validate Object properties using the rules in their associated Schema definitions
- Verify that relations in Object headers map to valid Objects in the Active Set
- Verify the owner signature is the transition hash signed for the public key associated to the pubkey in the account’s active subscription state (i.e. the most recent ‘register’ or ‘resetkey’ subscription transaction)
- Check the previous transition hash is the last transition for this account
- Determine the correct quorum (2400) for the Account
- Verify the quorum signature based on the quorum’s public key

Block Protocol

Consensus rules on the validity and sequence of State Transitions added in blocks are analogous to those of Transactions in the existing Dash protocol.

Miners collect new Transitions into a block where they're hashed into a Merkle Tree with only the root included in the block’s header, enabling Clients to validate whether a specific State exists in a block using a simplified verification process and enabling state transitions for closed accounts to be pruned.

The root hash of all Transitions in the block is hashed as part of the block header during Proof-of-Work to gain consensus on the new state of all objects that have transitioned since the previous block.

The solution is scalable because each Account can have only one State Transition per block regardless of the amount of data that changed. This minimizes the impact on block growth to approximately 200 bytes per Account whose state has changed per block. If there were 1 million unique accounts updating objects once per day, this would add roughly 347Kb per block with Dash’s average of 576 blocks per day at the 2.5 minute target interval.

Block Header

The format of the block header remains unchanged since State Transitions are simply a specific type of transaction and all transactions are hashed to create the block’s merkle root. Based on this, SPV validation of State Transitions works in the same way that validation of normal financial transactions does.

Block Validation

Additional consensus rules for Block validation comprise:

- Verify each transition
• Check the coinbase transaction fee output amount is the sum of all fees (transaction fees plus any fees paid via an Account fee-balance)

Altered consensus rules for Block validation:
• Since State Transition fees are paid from the Account’s fee-balance, State Transition transactions do not need to include any inputs or outputs

Storage

The Storage Module (2200) is the storage mechanism for Objects, which are the data notarized in the Transitions between states stored in blocks.

Data Types
For each State Transition added in a new block, the Storage Module (2200) stores:
• The merkle tree hashes for all Objects in the Transition
• The Object data, containing public and private properties for the Account and related Accounts

Committing Data
Objects are committed to the Storage Module (2200) within differential state transitions that are included in a new block. By traversing all application state transitions for an Account since it was registered, an ‘Active’ state can be resolved to represent the full current set of an Account’s application data. The Active state is then updated whenever a new block contains a transition. Access to pending state transitions can allow visibility into uncommitted changes.

FIG. 4 illustrates the Layer 2 Storage Synchronization (3400). When a block is received (3410) by the Blockchain Module (2300) of Masternodes (2000), the Storage Module (2200) parses the block for state transitions and identifies any for which it does not have the associated data. The Storage Module (2200) of the Masternode (2000) then Synchronizes Masternode Storage (3411) by connecting with other Masternodes (2000) via the Masternode Network (4100) to retrieve any data it requires.
Scalability

The Storage Module (2200) provides a scalable solution because nodes only need to keep the current state of an Account’s application data (the Active dataset) and update this on new State Transitions. This means that the data for many differential states can be pruned, for example a user updating their profile 100 times results in only 1 copy of the profile Object being stored on nodes.

An exception to this is that some differential states may need to be kept for a limited period for block validation, based on the prune depth definition for the Object type in the schema.
This design is aimed at everyday user access patterns. For example, a typical user may not care about seeing all past revisions of their profile information, they are just concerned with the active set that other users will see. If data revisions from the inactive set are needed by a user and they were pruned from the network, only a single copy of a revision is needed to restore the state because the hash of the data can be validated against the associated State Transition in a block and the signature validated against the user’s Subscription Transactions. This means that users who want to keep revisions can recover the data from a location such as their local backup (which a Client could be configured to keep) or a website listing historical data and validate the Object’s authenticity and presence on the blockchain. Alternatively, users wishing to keep every revision can run their own full node with pruning disabled on their account.

Effectively, data are notarized in blocks, with the notarized data stored in parallel storage that’s pruned to a set-size relating to the number of end-users rather than the number of transactions that they are adding to the chain.

The key reason to use a secondary store that extends each block is that blocks are best suited to retain full data on the transitions between states, whereas the Storage Module’s (2200) main use-case is to maintain a current ‘Active’ state for an Account’s application data, with deprecated states being pruned at a certain depth, e.g. after 1 month (depending on the Object definition in the Schema).

Storage Architecture

The requirement of how to store State Transitions in the Storage Module (2200), i.e. storing differential sets of Objects associated to transitions of Account states included in blocks, is similar to storing rows in a database table, but in a database that keeps past versions of the row as new transitions arrive. In this case, the last row added is considered the active row.

Using this principle, it can be noted that all Objects in the Storage Module (2200) are owned by Accounts. Generally, Objects are also associated with an application identity. Together they form the top level partition, or dimension, for Objects in terms of organizing their storage strategy. In some cases, Objects may be associated with an Account only and not related to a particular application identity.

The second dimension in the Storage Module (2200) occurs from the fact that data is added in conjunction with a new block, with the Objects tied to a state transition in a block through the root hash of all Objects related to that transition.

When an Account interacts with an application schema, a new partition is created in the Storage Module (2200) for the Account’s data associated with the application. As Account owners create
state transitions, the corresponding new or updated Objects in the Account are committed to the Storage Module (2200) as a new row, with the first row representing the resolved Active State of all past data transitions for the Account.

The 2-dimensional State Transition storage structure can be modeled as a data cube, with the total set of registered Accounts forming the X-axis, and the Z-axis representing historical additions and updates of Objects leading up to the current active state.

A third dimension can be created in the data cube on the Y-axis by grouping Objects by Schema type. This can enable optimizations based on the different usage and verification requirements of types, for example constructing and verifying state transitions for Object ratings would require fast searching of Rating trigger Objects by miners preparing a new block to minimize verification Costs.

Data Partitioning

The Storage Module (2200) data can also be pruned on a per-account basis on Nodes without the capacity to store the full Object dataset, either randomly or based on Accounts that are closed or haven't had activity for a long time (e.g. 12 months, 18 months, 2 years or 5 years).

In such a case, only a single node with a copy of the data is required to restore it, or the data can be recovered from a backup or archive source.

One limitation to partitioning the data this way is that the Object headers containing the foreign key relations may be needed to perform relational validation historically. For example, to validate a contact request from Alice to Bob, the consensus rules dictate that Bob must exist to maintain the relational integrity of the overall Object set in the Storage Module (2200).

In the future, Transitions could be pruned based on Object Type. For example, Object transitions could be pruned based on a parameter specified in the Object's Schema definition.

One reason to use an informal, ad-hoc approach to partitioning instead of a formal sharding strategy is that formal sharding can weaken the durability of the data because attackers can target specific data with knowledge of the subset of nodes that are storing that data. There is also an overhead to formal sharding with the need to organize and rebuild shards as nodes turnover, as opposed to nodes, for example, randomly pruning old Accounts when they run low on disk space.
Decentralized API

The API Module (2100) is the decentralized Application Programming Interface (DAPI) that enables the system end-users and applications to connect directly to the Dash P2P network to read/update Account data and read/create Transactions using HTTP enabled clients such as browsers and mobile applications.

Network Architecture

The API Module (2100) is part of a re-architecting of Dash network design to introduce a way for Clients to access the P2P network securely and directly.

In the current Dash design (inherited from BITCOIN), there is not a protocol level definition of a Client as is typical in most service models (such as Client-Server). In Bitcoin, every user is expected to access via a P2P node and interact as a peer directly. This was an understandable assumption in the early versions of BITCOIN, where every node mined, audited, and maintained a full local copy of the blockchain. The expansion into browser/mobile applications and attempts to integrate with more mainstream systems has made the P2P protocol an obstacle to growth.

There are different modes of P2P node that users can operate under the existing architectures, with the closest thing to a client being a user operating a node without a copy of the blockchain and using SPV validation over P2P messaging. This is essentially a selfish node and is referred to as a ‘lite client’ (e.g. ELECTRUM) when mediated via a centralized proxy.

For these reasons, the API Module (2100) is the endpoint for a new Client Protocol that is adjacent to the existing P2P Network Protocol, with Clients being any device running software that connects to the API Module (2100) over HTTP using the correct interoperation protocol.

Security Model

The security model for the API Module (2100) and its clients is based on this non-P2P selfish SPV node model, whereby Clients can connect to Nodes and add data to the blockchain (Transactions and Transitions) without needing to participate as peers and using the most commonly supported and censorship resistant protocol, HTTP. Clients can also access any node in the network to validate Transaction and Transition data using SPV over HTTP.

The API Module’s security model is based on full ownership of private keys by client users, with private keys never entering the API Module (2100). This prevents the API Module (2100) from having access to user funds. The API Module nodes may not serve code or content (e.g.
JavaScript or HTML) to a browser. The API Module (2100) is purely an XHR over HTTP based API accessed by deterministically-built open-source clients.

The API Module (2100) nodes utilize Masternode Quorums (2400) (e.g. 6-of-10) to confirm the validation of Client requests and the content of Client responses. Quorums (2400) provide redundancy to uncommitted Client session data and reduce the chance of malicious nodes wasting Client time with responses that Clients subsequently invalidate using SPV (with the Client SPV process being applied externally to the Client’s Quorum (2400), i.e. network wide).

Network Topology
The connection topology for Dash then becomes bifurcated into 2 rings in the network. The current P2P network topology (technically a partially-connected mesh) becomes the inner ring consisting of P2P nodes that validate, persist and provide the blockchain to other P2P nodes. The outer ring consists of individual Clients connected directly to a cluster of collateralized P2P nodes serving HTTP requests (Client / Multi-node-server) instead of intermediary proxy services that connect P2P on the backend, which we call the Client-to-Peer (C2P) network, technically a Client-to-collateralized-Peer-quorum network, also known as Tier-3 in Dash.

One issue with this structure is the lack of incentives for full nodes to support a very-large amount of selfish nodes. In some cryptocurrencies, the incentive model is pure P2P based, i.e. overall the P2P network survives with enough nodes seeding (operating as relaying full nodes accepting inbound connections) in the network to handle the additional traffic from a relatively small amount of leechers (mostly desktop wallets, centralized proxies such as SPV proxies, web wallets and payment processors) which end-users and applications connect to. By removing the need for leechers to connect via proxies (i.e. the majority of end-users not wishing to participate or support the P2P network directly), and therefore resulting in a large increase in selfish nodes (clients who can now access the network directly instead of via centralized SPV or Web wallet proxies), the cost to running a full node increases and the incentives model of the P2P network is broken.

In Dash, nodes are incentivized to provide non-mining services, but not specifically to handle this new topology, i.e. currently nodes could still be rewarded without provably serving these end-users honestly. To solve this, collateralized nodes (Masternodes) rewards can be altered to be provisional on the amount of Client data they add to the blockchain (technically, the quantity of Account State Transitions), which provides incentives to users who choose to operate nodes that will serve HTTP Clients and a deterministic way to ensure only nodes providing an adequate (and honest) Client-service level are rewarded.
Client Protocol Quorum

There are two modes of connection Clients can use:

- Passive Sessions - Anonymous, read-only access to the Account API (to query Account data), and anonymous read/write access to the Payment API (to query the blockchain and create transactions)
- Interactive Sessions - All the abilities of a passive session plus the ability to pseudonymously update the data for Accounts to which the user holds the private key.

When an Account Owner wants to start a session (i.e. update their Account state) with the API Module (2100) from a Client, there are several steps to perform. Note this is not needed for reading data on any Account in Dash, as clients can query any API Module node for the data anonymously. Also, this may not preclude users accessing the API Module (2100) from a local full node if they want full validation of all interaction using a full copy of the blockchain and related data.

The first step is to obtain a list of valid Masternodes (2000), and the second is to determine which Masternodes (2000) their client must connect to in order to update their account state.

Obtaining the Masternode List

A Client can connect to any number of nodes in the Dash network to obtain the Masternode list and validate its contents using SPV, using essentially the same security model as SPV/Electrum clients but with a much higher degree of decentralization, i.e. Clients can access any node in the Dash network instead of having to proxy through a small set of centralized layer-2 servers, and as that access is HTTP based, it is available from any HTTP enabled Client, such as a web browser.

Clients can use HTTP DNS seeds that the community setup to build an initial list of Masternodes (2000) to connect to in the same way as the core wallet today.

Once connected to some initial API Module nodes, the Client can retrieve a list of all Masternodes (2000) and their IPs, and validate the Active status using SPV on the on-chain Deterministic Masternode List to avoid spoofed nodes from a DNSseed.

Quorums

A group of deterministically selected masternodes (2000) forms a quorum (2400) that can provide consensus on the validity of transactions such as State Transitions. Quorum members
indicate acceptance of a State Transition by collectively signing it in a way that is verifiable using the quorum's on-chain public key.

The Masternode Quorums (2400) are constructed and operated by the Blockchain Module (2300). Quorums (2400) of varying sizes (e.g. 10, 50, 200) and approval thresholds (e.g. 6 of 10, 30 of 50, 125 of 200) may exist to support differing requirements.

A Client’s quorum (2400) is selected based on the registration transaction hash of the Account accessing the Quorum (2400). Once a Client has constructed a valid active Masternode list, they can determine their quorum (2400) and connect to it.

FIG. 3 illustrates a block diagram of a obtaining a Masternode Quorum’s (2400) consensus on a data update (3310). When the API Module (2100) of a Masternode (2000) receives a data update (3310), it sends it to the Blockchain Module (2300) after verifying the structure against the relevant schema. The Blockchain Module (2300) requests quorum approval (3311) from the group of Masternodes (2000) in the Quorum (2400). Once the minimum number of Masternodes (2000) approve the change, a member of the Quorum (2400) sends a message indicating the Quorum (2400) approves the change (3312). When the Masternode (2000) requesting approval receives the quorum approval (3313), it continues processing the data update. Generally that entails broadcasting a transaction related to the data change for inclusion in the blockchain.
Client Created State Transitions

Clients (1000) submit assembled State Transitions and the related objects to the API Module (2100) to request inclusion in a block. The API Module (2100) sends the State Transition to the
Client’s quorum (2400) to obtain majority consensus and subsequently propagates it to the Dash network once the quorum signature is received.

Quorum Created State Transitions

Creation

In the future, State Transitions from users may be created during interactive Client-Quorum Sessions, during which time the designated Quorum (2400) caches any updates to the Account’s Data Objects and at set intervals (e.g., approximately 1 minute, 2.5 minutes, 5 minutes, or 10 minutes) propagates these as a batch representing a new State to nodes in a State Transition data structure.

If validated, the State Transition has its data included in a block by miners for a fee deducted from the Account’s tallied fee-balance. This data (that was notarized by the transitions inclusion in the block) will be included in Object Storage by Masternodes (2000) who must facilitate a minimum quota of State Transitions to receive their portion of the block reward.

The intervals (e.g., 2.5 minute State Transition propagation by Quorums (2400)), called a ‘heartbeat’, minimize the frequency of transitions to an account on the blockchain, whilst still occurring frequently enough to provide usability. Client’s have the ability to control this frequency, or raise a state transition at any time to ‘save’ their data in the next block.

Authorization

Once the Quorum (2400) has assembled the State Transition, it sends it to the Account’s connected Client(s) for authorization using a web-socket callback or as part of a poll response.

Each Client then compares the root hash of the merkle tree for their local Object set to the root hash in the State Transition, and if it is valid, signs the State Transition hash with their Account private key and sends it back to the quorum (2400) who propagates it to the P2P network.

Triggers

Triggers are Objects types that have hardwired functions in nodes, such as rating accounts, budget cycle and masternode payments. Essentially, consensus rules depend on the data of a small number of types in the Schema.
The actual derived object types may not be wired, but just signify in the Schema that certain Objects are types that cause triggers. For example, if a User rates an App in the header of their UserApp Object, that Object has a type in the Schema signifying it has a header that raises ratings. Nodes can remain agnostic to the specific Schema, but when Objects have Trigger types, use those Object data for predefined trigger functions.

Note that trigger objects will usually have a higher prune depth. For example, all Budget objects need to be kept for at least 1 budget cycle (approximately 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 6 months or 1 year) for nodes to be able to validate Superblocks using consensus rules.

Ratings

Ratings are intrinsic to the system. They provide a democratic and decentralized way for users to apply a score to other Accounts (such as Users and Apps) that they use and to report users that break the Dash Terms of Service. Those Accounts can then be closed by Masternode voting if a minimum consensus is reached.

Note that having an Account closed can never result in the loss of funds by the Account owner or prevent an Account from moving funds. The Account is simply banned from creating any State Transitions and therefore it cannot create or update data Objects. As an example of an absentee account rating via a delegate state transition, the process is:

- Alice and Bob both set a rating on their UserApp Object for Charlie’s App, which is selling widgets.
- A miner collects the State Transitions for Alice & Bob’s update into the block and detects the presence of Rating values in the Object dataset
- The miner must tally the ratings (based on the total ratings for Charlie’s App to date, and the average rating, combined with any new ratings in this block).
- Because Charlie has not updated his Account in this block, the miner must create a Delegate State Transition in his absence, which updates the metadata but leaves the Data Transition as null (or just a hash of the last state transition by Charlie).

Validating nodes repeat this process to validate that the miner has included the Delegate State Transition for any State Transitions the miner included that contain ratings for Charlie’s account.

This incentivizes the miner to create the Delegate State Transition data even though they are not claiming a fee directly. This is because they can’t include the State Transition from Alice & Bob’s accounts without the Delegate State Transition to handle the change in Charlie’s rating meta state.
Masternode Shares

Masternode shares can enable Account holders to group together to collateralize a Masternode Account operator which links to a physical Masternode instance. In this Schema design, share owners receive their share of the rewards deterministically but only the Masternode (MN) account holder can vote on behalf of the node.

Users who wish to obtain MN shares (e.g. Alice & Bob) send an amount (e.g. 500 DASH each) to an address they own with specific metadata signifying this as a collateral transaction. The transaction uses CheckTimeLockVerify to prevent movement of the funds for 30 days. This is to reduce turnover rate of MN share owners initially. If they stay with a particular MN operator for over 30 days, they can move the funds instantly.

A Masternode Account owner (e.g. Charlie) then ‘claims’ Alice & Bob’s collateral transaction by adding the transaction hashes to a new CollateralStatus Object in his dataset (or updating the existing CollateralStatus Object if one exists). The collateral transactions can only be claimed by one Masternode Account.

To verify that the Masternode Account is fully collateralized, nodes check the Account’s CollateralStatus Object data as follows:

- The Collateral transactions listed in the Object are unspent and not claimed by any other Masternode Account.
- The Collateral transactions sum to at least the minimum MN requirement or minimum collateral requirement (e.g. 1000 DASH)

The maximum number of shares per Masternode may be limited (e.g. 20), but individual shares can be any amount over 5 DASH. The Masternode Account owner must provide at least 10% of the total requirement themselves to increase the cost to setup a malicious masternode. Note that the share owners are not risking funds as they do not share their private keys.

Governance

There are 2 Trigger Objects used for the Governance budget cycle: AppBudgetProposal and MasternodeBudgetVotes Objects, handling budget proposal creation and voting by Masternodes. The state of these Objects across the global Object set then determines Superblock creation and validation by nodes, which pay out the 10% of Block rewards each month to the winning proposals (using the existing consensus rules for SB validation).
Proposal Creation

Apps can create a new budget proposal by creating a new AppBudgetProposal and setting the properties for Name, Description, URL, PayDate, NumPayments, PayAmount, PaymentAddr.

Voting

To vote on a proposal, a Masternode Account owner updates their MasternodeBudgetVotes Object with a reference to the AppBudgetProposal, and adds their yes/no/abstain via the Vote property. Note that the MasternodeAccount has to pay fees for a State Transition to cast the vote (unless a solution can be found).

Super Blocks

Superblocks can be created deterministically by miners in the system, by the miner querying and all MasternodeBudgetVotes Objects within State Transitions included in blocks since the last budget cycle period and tallying the votes.

The miner then can add the appropriate associated payments in the coinbase transaction (using the budget finalization rules from the current system) with the process repeated for verifying nodes.

Note that nodes must maintain full object data for all governance objects to a certain depth (e.g. not prune until 1 month).

System Admins

Administration of the system (i.e., deactivating Subscriber Objects) will be a simple function of achieving a certain % of Masternode votes (e.g. 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 51%, or 66% and 2/3%) to ban Accounts.

To ban an Account, users can 'report' an Account using a similar system to ratings. Masternode admins can then vote on these bans using their AdminVotes Object, which miners must create a delegate state transition for the Account being voted on with a ban rating and total in the next block. When the votes reach a ban level, the miner of the next block must set a delegate state transition for the Account being reported with the Status set to 'Closed' for the block to be accepted via consensus rules.